

|   |                 |                 |  |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | Application No. | Applicant(s)    |  |
|   | 10/673,471      | MIKURIYA ET AL. |  |
|   | Examiner        | Art Unit        |  |
|   | John L. Goodrow | 1756            |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) John L. Goodrow.

(2) Mr Lesmes.

**Status of Application:** pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

 Rejection(s) discussed:  
*of record*

 Claims discussed:  
 1, 17, 18

 Prior art documents discussed:  
*of record*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Amend claims to show the use of the copolymer as a wax dispersant with an amount of anhydride as in claim 3*
**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)